

MassDEP Geographic Response Plan – 2014 Moon Island First Responder Exercise

After-Action Report/Improvement Plan October 30, 2014

The After-Action Report/Improvement Plan (AAR/IP) aligns exercise objectives with preparedness doctrine to include the National Preparedness Goal and related frameworks and guidance. Exercise information required for preparedness reporting and trend analysis is included; users are encouraged to add additional sections as needed to support their own organizational needs.

EXERCISE OVERVIEW

			,		Т	me
	VΑ	ro	C O	- N	von	mρ
-	Λ		V 1 a			

2014 Moon Island First Responder GRP Exercise

Exercise Dates

September 23th, 2014

Scope

This exercise is a Full Scale Exercise, planned for approximately six hours in Quincy, MA and upon the waters of Boston Harbor. Exercise play is limited to the waters immediately surrounding the Boston Fire Department Moon Island Training Academy (MITA).

Mission Area(s)

Response

Core Capabilities

Environmental Response/Health and Safety, Operational Coordination,

Objectives

Objective 1: Demonstrate the ability to deploy oil spill equipment from one or more MassDEP pre-positioned oil spill response trailers utilizing common Geographic Response Plan (GRP) tactics.

Objective 2: Demonstrate the ability to assemble a spill response organization utilizing Incident Command System (ICS) principles through development and execution of an Assignment List (ICS 204) and implementation of on-site incident management and tactical operations.

Threat or Hazard

Discharge of oil into a navigable waterway

Scenario

An oil spill has occurred in outer Boston Harbor and threatens the Boston Harbor Islands including Moon Island. The Boston and Hull Fire Departments, in consultation with the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection will deploy protective booming strategies in the vicinity of Moon Island and MITA to protect sensitive resources within and around Moon Island.

Sponsor

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) program funds (through the City of Boston's Mayor's Office of Emergency Management) are being utilized for first responder backfill and overtime costs for Boston Fire Department. MassDEP program funds will be utilized for first responder backfill and overtime costs for Hull Fire Department.

Participating Organizations

Participating organizations included:

• Boston Fire Department (BFD)

- City of Boston, Mayor's Office of Emergency Management
- Hull Fire Department (HFD)
- Hull Harbormaster
- MassDEP
- Moran Environmental Recovery (MER)
- Nuka Research and Planning Group, LLC (Nuka Research)
- U.S. Coast Guard Sector Boston (USCG)

Note: See Appendix B for participant count

Point of Contact

Mahoney, Environmental Analyst
Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
Oil Spill Prevention and Response Program
1 Winter St.
Boston, MA 02108
(617) 292-5516
Stephen.Mahoney@state.ma.us
Steve

ANALYSIS OF CORE CAPABILITIES

Aligning exercise objectives and core capabilities provides a consistent taxonomy for evaluation that transcends individual exercises to support preparedness reporting and trend analysis. Table 1 includes the exercise objectives, aligned core capabilities, and performance ratings for each core capability as observed during the exercise and determined by the evaluation team. Table 2 includes compiled data from the Exercise Evaluation Guide (EEG) including the organizational capability targets, associated critical tasks, and observations as observed during the exercise and determined by the evaluation team.

Objective	Core Capability	Performed without Challenges (P)	Performed with Some Challenges (S)	Performed with Major Challenges (M)	Unable to be Performed (U)
Demonstrate the ability to deploy oil spill equipment from one or more MassDEP prepositioned oil spill response trailers utilizing common Geographic Response Plan (GRP) tactics.	Environmental Response/Health and Safety	P			
Demonstrate the ability to assemble a spill response organization utilizing Incident Command System (ICS) principles through development and execution of an Assignment List (ICS 204) and implementation of onsite incident management and tactical operations.	Operational Coordination	P			
Demonstrate the ability to effectively communicate between multiple local, state, and federal agencies including fire departments, police departments, harbormasters, and other state and federal first responders using both UHF and VHF communications	Operational Communications	Not Assessed			

Ratings Definitions:

- Performed without Challenges (P): The targets and critical tasks associated with the core capability were completed in a manner that achieved the objective(s) and did not negatively impact the performance of other activities. Performance of this activity did not contribute to additional health and/or safety risks for the public or for emergency workers, and it was conducted in accordance with applicable plans, policies, procedures, regulations, and laws.
- Performed with Some Challenges (S): The targets and critical tasks associated with the core capability were

Objective	Core Capability	Performed without			Unable to be
		Challenges		Challenges	Performed
		(P)	(S)	(M)	(U)

completed in a manner that achieved the objective(s) and did not negatively impact the performance of other activities. Performance of this activity did not contribute to additional health and/or safety risks for the public or for emergency workers, and it was conducted in accordance with applicable plans, policies, procedures, regulations, and laws. However, opportunities to enhance effectiveness and/or efficiency were identified.

- Performed with Major Challenges (M): The targets and critical tasks associated with the core capability were completed in a manner that achieved the objective(s), but some or all of the following were observed: demonstrated performance had a negative impact on the performance of other activities; contributed to additional health and/or safety risks for the public or for emergency workers; and/or was not conducted in accordance with applicable plans, policies, procedures, regulations, and laws.
- Unable to be Performed (U): The targets and critical tasks associated with the core capability were not performed in a manner that achieved the objective(s).

Table 1. Summary of Core Capability Performance

Core Capability	Organizational Capability Target	Associated Critical Tasks	Observation Notes
Environmental Response/ Health and Safety	Overview of Response Equipment	Access Mass DEP Trailer Identify boom and sorbents Connect boom together Connect towing bridle to boom Connect components of anchor system together	 Performed without Challenges (P) Excellent Training from MER Full participation by students in demonstration portion of the instruction All skills successfully demonstrated during exercise Area for Improvement: Would be useful to have demo ASTM connectors for students. Area for Improvement: Would be useful to use small sections of line for students to tie their own knots for practice.
	Basic Booming Operations	Transport and tow boom. Critical Task: Anchoring and Connecting boom to shore Critical Task: Safe vessel and crew operations. (Refer to ICS-208)	 Performed without Challenges (P) All boat crews effectively towed boom and deployed anchors. Shore team did an excellent job of connecting boom to shore. Best Practice: Innovative use of wood wedges to fix re-bar into jetty. Safety Officer did an excellent job. Good use of safety tape to mark re-bar poles. Area for Improvement: Could use monkey fist/heaving lines to facilitate transfer of boom from shore to boat. Area for Improvement: use plywood for launching anchors on boats instead of tossing them in the water. Best Practice: Use old 6" feeder hoses for chafing gear on jetties/rocks.
	Implement Tactics in GRP	Deploy Exclusion Boom Tactic Deploy Deflection Boom Tactic	EX deployment, Performed without Challenges (P) Boom held surrogate (straw) Some gaps between seawall and boom. Students were aware that

Core Capability	Organizational Capability Target	Associated Critical Tasks	Observation Notes
		Deploy Diversion Boom Tactic	they could use sausage boom to close gaps & manage tide. DV deployment Performed without Challenges (P) 75' of anchor line was too much, crew expertly recovered anchor and redeployed it to achieve cascading boom
Operational Coordination	Create and Execute An Assignment List (ICS 204)	 Fill out ICS 204 Assignments in ICS 204 are followed and on-scene adjustments. Participants demonstrate command and control of exercise 	 Performed without Challenges (P) Assignments in ICS 204 carried out in outstanding manner IC did an excellent job of controlling exercise.
Operational Communications	Effectively Communicate Using UHF and VHF equipment	Create Communications Plan Communicate with other participants using organic UHF equipment Communicate with other participants using organic VHF equipment	Not Assessed Communications interoperability between departments was not observed. MITA provided communications equipment to all exercise participants

Table 2. EEG Summary

The following sections provide an overview of the performance related to each exercise objective and associated core capability, highlighting strengths and areas for improvement.

Objective 1: Demonstrate the ability to deploy oil spill equipment from one or more MassDEP pre-positioned oil spill response trailers utilizing common Geographic Response Plan (GRP) tactics

The strengths and areas for improvement for each core capability aligned to this objective are described in this section.

Core Capability 1: Environmental Response/Health and Safety

Strengths

The full capability level can be attributed to the following strengths:

Strength 1: Participants from multiple agencies (Boston and Hull FD, Hull Harbormaster, Moran Environmental, MassDEP) worked well together to accomplish the training goals.

Strength 2: All participants conducted the deployment safely. All associated critical tasks were successfully demonstrated during exercise. Several best practices are noted in the table above.

Areas for Improvement

The following areas require improvement to maximize efficiency during training:

Area for Improvement 1: Having demonstration ASTM connectors for students would facilitate training by allowing students to have hands on participation in a shorter time.

Reference: Massachusetts Geographic Response Plan Tactics Guide

Analysis: Demonstration equipment does not exist.

Area for Improvement 2: Would be useful to use small sections of line for students to practice tying knots.

Reference: Massachusetts Geographic Response Plan Tactics Guide

Analysis: Demonstration equipment does not exist.

Area for Improvement 3: Would be useful to include heaving lines in the trailer inventory to facilitate passing of boom from the shore to boats.

Reference: Massachusetts Geographic Response Plan Tactics Guide

Analysis: Demonstration equipment does not exist.

Objective 2: Demonstrate the ability to assemble a spill response organization utilizing Incident Command System (ICS) principles

through development and execution of an Assignment List (ICS 204) and implementation of on-site incident management and tactical operations.

The strengths and areas for improvement for each core capability aligned to this objective are described in this section.

Core Capability 2: Operational Coordination

Strengths

The full capability level can be attributed to the following strengths:

Strength 1: Incident Commander did an excellent job of controlling the exercise and providing direction when anchoring of cascade booming during the diversion booming portion of the exercise needed to be readjusted.

Strength 2: All boat crews carried out assigned tasks and worked together flawlessly.

Areas for Improvement

The following areas require improvement to achieve the full capability level:

Area for Improvement: None

Objective 3: Demonstrate the ability to effectively communicate between multiple local, state, and federal agencies including fire departments, police departments, harbormasters, and other state and federal first responders using both UHF and VHF communications

The strengths and areas for improvement for each core capability aligned to this objective are described in this section.

Core Capability 3: Operational Communications

Not Assessed: Despite not being assessed due to the use of MITA communications equipment by all participants, the following observations were made:

Strength 1: Clear and effective communications between all participants was maintained throughout the exercise

Strength 2: All participants maintained good radio discipline throughout the exercise. Extraneous communication was kept to a minimum and clear guidance and asset coordination was provided to accomplish deployment objectives.

APPENDIX A: IMPROVEMENT PLAN

This IP has been developed specifically for, Chelsea and Everett Fire Departments following the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection 2014 Chelsea River First Responder Exercise conducted on June 19, 2014.

Core Capability	Issue/Area for Improvement	Corrective Action	Capability Element ¹	Primary Responsible Organization	Organization POC	Start Date	Completion Date
Core Capability 1: Environmental Response/Health and Safety	Having demonstration ASTM connectors for students would facilitate training by allowing students to have hands on participation in a shorter time.	Either purchase connectors from manufacturer, if possible, or harvest connectors from decommissioned boom for training purposes.	Equipment	MassDEP	Steve Mahoney		
Core Capability 1: Environmental Response/Health and Safety	2. Would be useful to use small sections of line for students to practice tying knots.	Provide small sections of line for students to use for knot tying practice.	Equipment	MassDEP	Steve Mahoney		
Core Capability 1: Environmental Response/Health and Safety	3. Would be useful to include heaving lines in the trailer inventory to facilitate passing of boom from the shore to boats.	Add heaving lines for all trailers in MassDEP inventory.	Equipment	MassDEP	Steve Mahoney		

¹ Capability Elements are: Planning, Organization, Equipment, Training, or Exercise.

APPENDIX B: EXERCISE PARTICIPANTS

Participating Organizations				
Federal	Participant Count			
United States Coast Guard Sector Boston (USCG)	2			
State				
Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP)	2			
Nuka Research and Planning Group, LLC (contractor for MassDEP)	3			
Moran Environmental Recovery (contractor for MassDEP)	2			
City of Boston, MA				
Boston OEM	1			
Boston Fire Department (BFD)	21			
City of Hull, MA				
Hull Fire Department (HFD)	9			
Hull Harbormaster (HHM)	2			
TOTAL	42			

APPENDIX C: EXERCISE EVALUATION FORM

Massachusetts DEP GRP/First Responder Exercise - PARTICIPANT EVALUATION

Boston/Hull – Moon Island First Responder Exercise E		Exercise date: 23SEP14			
Instructions to Participants: Complete this form based on your observations of the GRP exercise.					
Evaluator Name: Evaluator Organization:					
What was your role in exercise? (responde		•			
What was your level of spill response expe	rience	prior to this exe	ercise?		
NONE TRAINING ONLY SOME SPIL		PONSE A LO)T		
Please check a box to respond to the follow	/ing.	YES	NO		
1. I feel more prepared to deploy oil spill response equipment now than I did prior to exercise.	this				
2. I have a better understanding of spill response tactics than I did prior to this exe	rcise.				
3. I would participate in future oil spill res equipment or Geographic Response Plan deployments at other sites.	ponse				
4. The objectives were clearly explained a deployment exercise met the objectives.	nd the	9			
5. The exercise was conducted safely.					
Based on your experience today, would yo boom array during an actual incident?	u feel	comfortable set	ting a similar		
NOT AT ALL A LITTLE MODERATELY VERY					
Please evaluate how well the Moon Island Training Academy worked for deploying and demobilizing boom from the trailer for this deployment: I <u>deal</u> staging area for boom for this tactic. S <u>ufficient</u> as a staging area for boom for this tactic. N <u>ot sufficient</u> as a staging area for boom for this tactic.					
Did the Exercise Plan (map diagram) provide clear direction as to how and where to deploy the boom? If not, please identify problems & suggest improvements.					

PLEASE USE THE BACK OF THIS PAGE FOR ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

	Yes	No
Prior Oil Spill Experience	69%	31%
More Prepared after Exercise	100%	0%
Better Understanding of Deploying Spill Response Tactics	100%	0%
Participate in Future GRP Deployments	100%	0%
Field Objectives Clearly Explained and/or Met	93%	7%



27 Respondents

Based on experience today, comfort level with setting a similar boom array in actual incident



