

Environmental Protection

# MassDEP Geographic Response Plan – 2014 Barnstable (CI-03) Exercise

November 17, 2014

The After-Action Report/Improvement Plan (AAR/IP) aligns exercise objectives with preparedness doctrine to include the National Preparedness Goal and related frameworks and guidance. Exercise information required for preparedness reporting and trend analysis is included; users are encouraged to add additional sections as needed to support their own organizational needs.

# **EXERCISE OVERVIEW**

Exercise Name	2014 Barnstable (CI-03) GRP Exercise
Exercise Dates	October 23, 2014
Scope	This exercise is a Full Scale Exercise, planned for approximately six hours at Barnstable Harbor in Barnstable, MA and upon the waters of Barnstable Harbor. Exercise play is limited to Barnstable Harbor and adjacent waters.
Mission Area(s)	Response
Core Capabilities	Environmental Response/Health and Safety, Operational Coordination, Operational Communications
	Objective 1: Demonstrate the ability to deploy oil spill equipment from one or more MassDEP pre-positioned oil spill response trailers utilizing common Geographic Response Plan (GRP) tactics.
Objectives	Objective 2: Demonstrate the ability to assemble a spill response organization utilizing Incident Command System (ICS) principles through development and execution of an Assignment List (ICS 204) and implementation of on-site incident management and tactical operations.
	Objective 3: Demonstrate the ability to effectively communicate between multiple local, state, and federal agencies including fire departments, police departments, harbormasters, and other state and federal first responders using both UHF and VHF communications.
Threat or Hazard	Discharge of oil into a navigable waterway
Scenario	A major oil spill in Cape Cod Bay caused by an unknown source will have impact on a significant portion of the shoreline, beaches and tidal areas in and around Barnstable, MA. No responsible party has been identified. A Unified Command has been established and they have contacted the Barnstable and West Barnstable fire departments and recommended that they utilize Geographic Response Plan (GRP) CI-03 to deploy protective booming to protect sensitive resources in and near the Barnstable Harbor including Maraspin Creek and marshes.

Sponsor	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. Program funds will be utilized for first responder backfill and overtime costs.
Participating Organizations	<ul> <li>Participating organizations included:</li> <li>Barnstable Fire Department (BFD)</li> <li>West Barnstable Fire Department (WBFD)</li> <li>Centerville-Osterville-Marstons Mills Fire Department (COMM)</li> <li>Barnstable Harbor Master (BHM)</li> <li>MassDEP</li> <li>U.S. Coast Guard Sector Southeastern New England (USCG)</li> <li>Moran Environmental Recovery (MER)</li> <li>Nuka Research and Planning Group, LLC (Nuka Research)</li> </ul> Note: See Appendix B for participant count
Point of Contact	Steve Mahoney, Environmental Analyst Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Oil Spill Prevention and Response Program 1 Winter St. Boston, MA 02108 (617) 292-5516 Stephen.Mahoney@state.ma.us

# **ANALYSIS OF CORE CAPABILITIES**

Aligning exercise objectives and core capabilities provides a consistent taxonomy for evaluation that transcends individual exercises to support preparedness reporting and trend analysis. Table 1 includes the exercise objectives, aligned core capabilities, and performance ratings for each core capability as observed during the exercise and determined by the evaluation team. Table 2 includes compiled data from the Exercise Evaluation Guide (EEG) including the organizational capability targets, associated critical tasks, and observations as observed during the exercise and determined by the evaluation team.

Objective	Core Capability	Performed without Challenges (P)	Performed with Some Challenges (S)	Performed with Major Challenges (M)	Unable to be Performed (U)
Demonstrate the ability to deploy oil spill equipment from one or more MassDEP pre- positioned oil spill response trailers utilizing common Geographic Response Plan (GRP) tactics.	Environmental Response/Health and Safety	Ρ			
Demonstrate the ability to assemble a spill response organization utilizing Incident Command System (ICS) principles through development and execution of an Assignment List (ICS 204) and implementation of on- site incident management and tactical operations.	Operational Coordination	Ρ			
Demonstrate the ability to effectively communicate between multiple local, state, and federal agencies including fire departments, police departments, harbormasters, and other state and federal first responders using both UHF and VHF communications	Operational Communications	Ρ			

**Ratings Definitions:** 

• Performed without Challenges (P): The targets and critical tasks associated with the core capability were completed in a manner that achieved the objective(s) and did not negatively impact the performance of other activities. Performance of this activity did not contribute to additional health and/or safety risks for the public or for emergency workers, and it was conducted in accordance with applicable plans, policies, procedures, regulations, and laws.

Performed with Some Challenges (S): The targets and critical tasks associated with the core capability were completed in a
manner that achieved the objective(s) and did not negatively impact the performance of other activities. Performance of this
activity did not contribute to additional health and/or safety risks for the public or for emergency workers, and it was

Objective	Core Capability	Performed without Challenges (P)	Performed with Some Challenges (S)	Performed with Major Challenges (M)	Unable to be Performed (U)
<ul> <li>enhance effectiveness a</li> <li>Performed with Major C manner that achieved t negative impact on the</li> </ul>	ace with applicable plans, po and/or efficiency were identifie challenges (M): The targets a the objective(s), but some or performance of other activities nd/or was not conducted in ac	ed. nd critical tasks as all of the following s; contributed to ad	sociated with the co y were observed: ditional health and	ore capability were demonstrated perfo /or safety risks for tl	completed in a prmance had a he public or for

• Unable to be Performed (U): The targets and critical tasks associated with the core capability were not performed in a manner that achieved the objective(s).

Core Capability	Organizational Capability Target	Associated Critical Tasks	Observation Notes
Environmental Response/ Health and Safety	Overview of Response Equipment	<ul> <li>Access Mass DEP Trailer</li> <li>Identify boom and sorbents</li> <li>Connect boom together</li> <li>Connect towing bridle to boom</li> <li>Connect components of anchor system together</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Performed without Challenges (P)</li> <li>All skills successfully demonstrated during exercise.</li> <li>Excellent Training from MER despite rainsquall.</li> <li>Classroom training was excellent.</li> <li>Full participation by students in demonstration portion of the instruction.</li> <li>Area for Improvement: Would be useful to have demo ASTM connectors for students.</li> <li>Area for Improvement: Would be useful to use small sections of line for students to tie their own knots for practice.</li> </ul>
	Basic Booming Operations	<ul> <li>Transport and tow boom.</li> <li>Critical Task: Anchoring and Connecting boom to shore</li> <li>Critical Task: Safe vessel and crew operations. (Refer to ICS-208)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Performed Without Challenges (P)</li> <li>All boat crews effectively worked together, towing and controlling boom deployment.</li> <li>Safety officer did an excellent job of ensuring safe operations. Kept a line from getting entangled in 17 ft skiff outboard engine.</li> <li>Shore teams were excellent, used rebar and existing structures to anchor boom to shore.</li> </ul>
	Implement Tactics in GRP	Deploy Exclusion Boom Tactic	<ul> <li>Exclusion deployment,</li> <li>Performed Without Challenges (P)</li> <li>Boom deployed as discussed in briefing except failure of engine by 17 ft harbormaster boat caused the boom to be taken by the current under the bridge. The 25 ft Harbormaster boat skillfully retrieved the boom and anchored it to the floating dock to create the desired chevron.</li> <li>Tactic proved to be successful as modified during ops briefing. Deviated from the GRP by using 150 ft vice 400 ft of 12-inch boom due to presence of floating docks.</li> </ul>

#### Table 1. Summary of Core Capability Performance

4

Core	Organizational	Associated Critical	Observation Notes
Capability	Capability Target	Tasks	<ul> <li>Tactic worked well but could have used an additional 50 ft section of boom (not in trailer). IC noted that tactic could easily be deployed without boats if needed. Simulant was unable to penetrate into the sensitive area.</li> <li>Harbormaster noted that it may be preferable to use boom from Whale watch pier to the floating docks to keep oil out of the entire harbor (something to look at)</li> <li>Diversion Deployment</li> <li>Only deployed half of Diversion tactin (DV 04) Diversion beam was</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>tactic (DV-01) Diversion boom was successful in capturing simulant.</li> <li>Boat crews worked well together to anchor boom among the moorings.</li> <li>Configuration had to be adjusted and anchor moved further offshore but crew did that with skill.</li> <li>Initial shore anchor (rebar) failed.</li> <li>BEST PRACTICE: to correct the failed shore anchor, Jaime Gonslaves provided a best practice use for rebar as a shore anchor. See picture or graphic for more detail. Must include in instruction and in Tactics Manual as preferred option for use of rebar as shore anchor.</li> </ul>
Operational Coordination	Create and Execute An Assignment List (ICS 204)	<ul> <li>Fill out ICS 204</li> <li>Assignments in ICS 204 are followed and on-scene adjustments.</li> <li>Participants demonstrate command and control of exercise</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Team make up well defined.</li> <li>Enough personnel to complete assignments.</li> <li>IC did an excellent job of coordinating between shore and boat teams. Especially, when directing boat to relieve strain on failed shore anchor. Directed boat to allow shore team to correct the situation.</li> <li>Good situational awareness of IC. Fully aware of concept that "help comes in waves" and was thinking through next tactic while still working on first.</li> <li>IC very careful to ensure boat didn't get caught on the inside of the exclusion tactic.</li> </ul>
Operational Communications	Effectively Communicate Using UHF and VHF equipment	<ul> <li>Create Communications Plan</li> <li>Communicate with other participants using organic UHF equipment</li> <li>Communicate with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Performed without Challenges (P)</li> <li>Communications interoperability between departments was seamless. VHF equipment was used effectively.</li> <li>Strong comms between shore and water crews.</li> <li>UHF Equipment not utilized.</li> </ul>

Analysis of Core Capabilities

Core Capability	Organizational Capability Target	Associated Critical Tasks	Observation Notes
		other participants using organic VHF equipment	

Table 2. EEG Summary

The following sections provide an overview of the performance related to each exercise objective and associated core capability, highlighting strengths and areas for improvement.

## Objective 1: Demonstrate the ability to deploy oil spill equipment from one or more MassDEP pre-positioned oil spill response trailers utilizing common Geographic Response Plan (GRP) tactics

The strengths and areas for improvement for each core capability aligned to this objective are described in this section.

## **Core Capability 1: Environmental Response/Health and Safety**

## Strengths

The full capability level can be attributed to the following strengths:

**Strength 1:** Participants from multiple agencies (Barnstable, West Barnstable and COMM Fire Departments, Barnstable Harbormaster, MassDEP, USCG) worked together to accomplish goal

Strength 2: All participants conducted the deployment safely.

#### **Areas for Improvement**

The following areas require improvement to achieve the full capability level:

**Area for Improvement 1:** Having demonstration ASTM connectors for students would facilitate training by allowing students to have hands on participation in a shorter time.

Reference: Massachusetts Geographic Response Plan Tactics Guide

Analysis: Demonstration equipment does not exist.

Area for Improvement 2: Would be useful to use small sections of line for students to tie their own knots for practice.

Reference: Massachusetts Geographic Response Plan Tactics Guide

Analysis: Demonstration equipment does not exist.

## Objective 2: Demonstrate the ability to effectively communicate between multiple local, state, and federal agencies including fire departments, police departments, harbormasters, and other state and federal first responders using both UHF and VHF communications

The strengths and areas for improvement for each core capability aligned to this objective are described in this section.

## **Core Capability 2: Operational Coordination**

### Strengths

The full capability level can be attributed to the following strengths:

Strength 1: All personnel were clear on assignments during the exercise.

#### **Areas for Improvement**

The following areas require improvement to achieve the full capability level:

Area for Improvement 1: None

Analysis: N/A

## **Core Capability 3: Operational Communications**

#### Strengths

The full capability level can be attributed to the following strengths:

**Strength 1:** Communications interoperability between departments was seamless. VHF channel 81A was used effectively with all equipment working properly.

Strength 2: Strong communication between shore and water crews.

#### **Areas for Improvement**

The following areas require improvement to achieve the full capability level:

Area for Improvement 1: None to report.

Analysis: N/A

# **APPENDIX A: IMPROVEMENT PLAN**

This IP has been developed specifically for MassDEP, the Barnstable Fire District, and the Barnstable Harbormaster following the MassDEP Barnstable(CI-03) GRP Exercise conducted on October 23, 2014.

Core Capability	Issue/Area for Improvement	Corrective Action	Capability Element <sup>1</sup>	Primary Responsible Organization	Organization POC	Start Date	Completion Date
Core Capability 1: Environmental Response/Health and Safety	1. Having demonstration ASTM connectors for students would facilitate training by allowing students to have hands on participation in a shorter time.	Either purchase connectors from manufacturer, if possible, or harvest connectors from decommissioned boom for training purposes.	Equipment	MassDEP	Steve Mahoney		
Core Capability 1: Environmental Response/Health and Safety	2. Would be useful to use small sections of line for students to practice tying knots.	Provide small sections of line for students to use for knot tying practice.	Equipment	MassDEP	Steve Mahoney		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Capability Elements are: Planning, Organization, Equipment, Training, or Exercise.

# **APPENDIX B: EXERCISE PARTICIPANTS**

Participating Organizations				
Federal	Participant Count			
United States Coast Guard Sector Southeastern New England (USCG)	1			
State				
Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP)	2			
Nuka Research and Planning Group, LLC (contractor for MassDEP)	3			
Moran Environmental Recovery (contractor for MassDEP)	2			
Barnstable Fire District				
Barnstable Fire Department	8			
West Barnstable Fire Department	6			
COMM Fire Department	8			
Town of Barnstable, MA				
Barnstable Harbormaster	6			
Barnstable DPW	1			
TOTAL	37			

# **APPENDIX C: EXERCISE EVALUATION FORM**

Barnstable, W. Barnstable, COMM – Barnstal GRP Exercise	ble Harbor	Exercise date: 2	230CT14
Instructions to Participants: Complete t GRP exercise.	his form based	l on your obser	vations of the
Participant Name (optional):	Participa	nt Organizatio	on:
What was your role in exercise? (re	esponder, obs	erver, facilita	tor, etc.)
What was your level of spill respons	e experience	prior to this e	exercise?
NONE TRAINING ONLY SOM	IE SPILL RES	PONSE A	LOT
Please check a box to respond to th 1. I feel more prepared to deploy o response equipment now than I did	il spill	YES	NO
<ul><li>exercise.</li><li>2. I have a better understanding of response tactics than I did prior to t</li><li>3. I would participate in future oil s equipment or Geographic Response</li></ul>	his exercise. pill response		
<ul><li>deployments at other sites.</li><li>4. The objectives were clearly explained approximate the object</li></ul>	ained and the		
5. The exercise was conducted safe Based on your experience today, we boom array during an actual inciden	ely. Duld you feel	comfortable s	etting a simila
NOT AT ALL A LITTLE	MODERA	TELY	VERY
Please evaluate how well the <b>Blish</b> for deploying and demobilizing boor I <u>deal</u> staging area for boom for S <u>ufficient</u> as a staging area for Not sufficient as a staging area	n from the tra this tactic. boom for this for boom for	ailer for this d tactic. this tactic.	eployment:
Did the Exercise Plan (map diagram where to deploy the boom? If not, improvements.	please identif	y problems &	suggest

	Yes	No
Prior Oil Spill Experience	89%	11%
More Prepared after Exercise	100%	0%
Better Understanding of Deploying Spill Response Tactics	100%	0%
Participate in Future GRP Deployments	96%	4%
Field Objectives Clearly Explained and/or Met	100%	0%



28 Respondents







